



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/729,621 | 12/05/2003 | John J. Thrall | 600189-057 | 2930 |
| 76041 7590 04/27/2009 YAHOO! INC. C/O Ostrow Kaufman & Frankl LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue, 62nd Floor NEW YORK, NY 10174 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| LEWIS, ALICIA M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2164 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 04/27/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/729,621

Applicant(s)

THRALL, JOHN J.

Examiner

Alicia M. Lewis

Art Unit

2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia M. Lewis.(3) Timothy Bechen.(2) Nikitas Nicolakis.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mortensen et al. (US 2005/0065928) and Shu et al. (US 2005/0114306).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives explained Applicant's invention. The Examiner suggested that Applicant further amend the claims to clarify his invention. Applicant's representative suggested clarifying the contextual weight claim language and user interface layout claim language in claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alicia M Lewis/
Examiner, Art Unit 2164